

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/667,110	09/17/2003	Christopher R. Gentle	4366-155	8515		
48590 7590 06/19/2008 SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. 1560 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200			EXAMINER			
			TRAN, TUYETLIEN T			
DENVER, CC	80202		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2179			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			06/19/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,110	GENTLE, CHRISTOPHER R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TUYETLIEN T. TRAN	2179		

	TUYETLIEN T. TRAN	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,,	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13.   Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Weilun Lo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After considering the applicant's remark filed on 06/11/2008 as well as the cited prior art and the current rejection, the Examiner maintained the position presented in the Final Action mailed on 4/14/08.

In response to Appellants' arguments that the cited references do not result in a suggestion to provide a preview of an actual consequence of selecting a selectable item that includes an operation performed on a file that is open in the application, the similar to read the application, the saminer notes this limitation is well addressed in claim 1 in the 4/14/08 Office Action. In addition, the examiner directs the applicant to the fact that "f\[A]naiysis [of whether the subject matter of a claim would have been obvious] need not seek out precise teachings directed he specific subject matter of the challenged claim, for a court can take account of the inferences and creative steps that a person of ordinary skill in the art would employ." KSR Intl Co. V. Telefest, Inc., 127 S. C. t. 1727, 1740-41, 82 USPSQ-21 385, 1398 (2007).

Continuation of 13. Other: The information disclosure statement filed 5/20/2008 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.